succeeding one another, is a clear signal that career public servants are a dying—and possibly already extinct—species. Yet the lack of long-term government officials, particularly in the United States, leaves the country—blown left or right by the ideological winds of the moment—susceptible to the very “mobocracy” the Founders feared.

**It’s Always Politics**


Americans love it when politicians place principle above politics to act for the common good. But pull back the curtain and such displays of bipartisanship are still largely politics, say political scientists Peter Trubowitz, of the University of Texas at Austin, and Nicole Mellow, of Williams College.

Politicians put on bipartisan plumage when political circumstances call for them to win over centrist or swing voters outside their party. But bipartisanship is far from the usual practice in Congress. The authors’ analysis of roll-call votes since 1889 reveals that it has come in waves, reaching an all-time high in the 91st Congress (1969–71), when lawmakers voted in substantially bipartisan fashion 76 percent of the time. Bipartisanship went downhill after that—a post–World War II low of 33 percent in the 104th Congress (1995–97), when Republicans gained control of the House of Representatives for the first time in 40 years. There was a turn toward greater bipartisanship in congressional voting in the late 1990s, and during 2001–02 bipartisan votes reached 58 percent.

Bipartisanship in Congress is more likely to occur when the two parties are competitive nationally and lawmakers have to woo moderate voters, say Trubowitz and Mellow. That happened in the 1960s and 1970s, “when the regional foundation of the New Deal party system eroded and the Republicans became more competitive in the South.” Bipartisanship is also a feature of divided government, a consequence, for example, of the president’s having to appeal to moderate members of the opposition party to win congressional support. That’s what Harry Truman did in the late 1940s to gain backing from the GOP-controlled Congress for his Cold War foreign policy.

The state of the economy also makes a difference. In good times, partisan pressures on lawmakers ease. In hard times, increased pressure from labor on the Democrats and from business on the Republicans makes crossing party lines less likely. When the unemployment rate soared during the Great Depression, bipartisan cooperation in Congress plummeted. And despite possible short-lived “rally round the flag” effects, bipartisanship does not appear to increase at times of international crisis. Today, write the authors, with the parties “increasingly regionally polarized,” the economy sluggish, and no end in sight to the war on terrorism, bipartisanship’s prospects don’t appear very bright.

**Supreme Shifts**


Mindful of President Franklin D. Roosevelt’s landslide reelection victory in 1936 and fearful of his “court-packing” scheme, the Supreme Court in 1937 suddenly reversed course and began approving New Deal legislation. At least, the reversal looked sudden at the time, and has been so regarded in the standard scholarly interpretation of what happened. But in the past decade or so, in a debate freighted with larger political implications, some scholars have argued that the change was actually the product of an evolutionary process occurring over a period of years.

By the end of 1936, the Court had struck down a series of New Deal measures, often by 5–4 decisions. In early 1937, a frustrated FDR proposed legislation that would have enabled him to nominate a new Supreme Court justice for each sitting justice above the age of 70—which that year would have meant adding six justices to the Court’s nine.

“The plan created a political firestorm” and damaged the president’s standing, writes Alan Brinkley, a historian at Columbia University. “But according to more than a generation of scholars, it also frightened the justices themselves.” Justice Owen Roberts appeared to jump from the conservative to the liberal side, joining a 5–4 majority in *West Coast Hotel v. Parrish* to uphold a state minimum-wage statute virtually identical to one the Court had...