Cash and Carry Science

"Scientists Who Fund Themselves" by Jon Cohen, in *Science* (Jan. 9, 1998), 1200 New York Ave. N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005.

With research grants harder to get these days, some scientists have discovered a different way to pay for their laboratory work: they dig into their own pockets.

Such self-funding researchers are still a small minority, reports *Science* writer Cohen, but their number is growing—and not all of them are wealthy. Take biologist Robert Summers, of the State University of New York at Buffalo, who studies the developmental biology of sea urchins. He is not a rich man, but about 10 years ago he saw the handwriting on the wall. "I just realized that if I wanted to continue," he told Cohen, "I'd have to beg, borrow, steal, and scrape—and spend my own money." Last year alone, he estimates, he kicked in some \$10,000.

Scientists underwriting their own work is nothing new. When modern science began in the 17th century, it was largely a pursuit of rich amateurs. Self-funding remained common in the United States until World War II, when levels of government support for scientific research soared. In recent years, however, with the Cold War over, Washington's enthusiasm for funding research projects has diminished. To keep their research going in the absence of sufficient support from the National Science Foundation or other grant givers, self-funding scientists draw on their own salaries or pensions or other sources of income; some channel their outside consulting income into their labs. And a fortunate few are able to rely on family wealth.

Self-funding has definite advantages for scientists. It saves them months of work on grant applications, and frees them to depart from the more fashionable lines of research favored by conservative peer reviewers. But these advantages, Cohen notes, can also be drawbacks. Writing a grant application can help a researcher to clarify his thinking about his project, and peer review can provide useful feedback. For universities, there is a further disadvantage, since they claim a portion of all faculty grants as "overhead." In fact, some self-funders have seen their labs shut down because they failed to satisfy their parent institution's hunger for that kind of cash.

Power from Outer Space

"Beam It Down" by Martin I. Hoffert and Seth D. Potter, in *Technology Review* (Oct. 1997), Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Bldg. W59, Cambridge, Mass. 02139.

In the 1970s, Czech-American engineer Peter Glaser proposed a novel solution to the oil crises: "geosynchronous" satellites (rotating with the Earth, some 22,000 miles above the equator) could use photovoltaic cells to convert sunlight into electrical current, then transmit it via a microwave beam down to Earth. Glaser's proposal was imaginative, but it had a few problems, not least that, with the satellites at that altitude, the receiving antennas on the ground would have to be about six miles in diameter. Not surprisingly, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the Department of Energy soon lost interest.

Today's revolution in telecommunications, write Hoffert and Potter, physicists at New York University, could give Glaser's idea an unexpected lift. By early in the next century, swarms of low-altitude communications satellites will be orbiting the globe. Teledesic Corporation, a joint venture of Microsoft chairman Bill Gates and cell phone tycoon Craig McCaw, alone plans to spend \$9 billion to launch 288 communications satellites. They will use microwave beams to relay voices, video images, and data to locations around the world.

Why not equip the satellites with solar collectors and use the same microwave beams to carry electrical power? say the authors. "By piggybacking onto these fleets of communications satellites—and taking advantage of their microwave transmitters and receivers, ground stations, and control systems—solar power technology can become economically viable." The new satellites have other advantages. They will orbit only a few hundred miles above the Earth's surface, so the receiving antennas can be much smaller (and less expensive) than in Glaser's scheme. The solar collectors also can be much smaller—only a few hundred meters across instead of more than six miles.

A network of solar power satellites, the authors contend, could supply enough electrical power "to satisfy the needs of the human race through the next century." They admit, however, that they have a lot of convincing to do in the United States. Although Japan's Ministry of Technology and Industry has already sponsored the design of a prototype orbiter, solar power satellites were not even mentioned in a recent U.S. National Academy of Sciences study of energy alternatives.

ARTS & LETTERS The Coward's Art

"Echo and Narcissus: The Fearful Logic of Postmodern Thought" by David Bosworth, in *The Georgia Review* (Fall 1997), Univ. of Georgia, Athens, Ga. 30602–9009.

What are the forces that drive the postmodern sensibility? asks novelist Bosworth, author of *From My Father*, *Singing* (1989). "Why in our time (to cite just some of the signal shifts in value enacted by postmodern thought) has parody replaced parable, sign replaced symbol, repetition replaced originality, monologue replaced dialogue, and the celebrity replaced the hero?"

Much of the art and thought since World War II exhibits, to one degree or another, "either mechanical mimicry or obsessive selfabsorption," Bosworth contends-and these "recall, with eerie exactitude, the fates prescribed for Echo and Narcissus." The nymph Echo, in punishment for deceiving a god, is condemned never to speak an original word again, while the handsome youth Narcissus, as a curse for coldly rejecting the love of others, is made to fall in love with his own reflection. "The one unable to express herself, the other unable to see beyond himself, each is estranged not only from reciprocal love but from any form of intimate exchange," writes Bosworth. "Each is destined to pine away in a perpetually punishing loneliness."

What is now called the "postmodern" sensibility, he notes, emerged during the 1960s with the arrival of pop art. Andy Warhol, the pop art eminence and "most influential visual artist of the last 50 years," chose Echo's imposed fate, Bosworth points out. "The very model of Echo's form of 'servomechanism,' Warhol copies the world and then copies his copy again and again. An exact replica of a soup can becomes a hundred replicas ('100 Campbell's Soup Cans') which then become '200 Campbell's Soup Cans.' A photographic copy of the Mona Lisa is then multiplied into four copies ('Four Mona Lisas') which then become a frame arrayed with 30 copies, six by five."

Warhol provides the most extreme example, but milder versions of postmodern Echo abound and can be found in virtually every area of contemporary culture, Bosworth says. In music, for instance, there is "the rise of minimalism and New Age soporifics with their mechanical repetition of simple melodies and rhythms."

Postmodern Narcissus also has become ubiquitous, Bosworth says. In literature, autobiography and memoir have become more popular than fictional narrative; in philosophy, "an extreme relativity verging on solipsism, the denial that there is a knowable truth beyond one's own thoughts," has become fashionable. In the visual arts, "various forms of exhibitionist self-portraiture" have come into vogue. The internationally acclaimed photographer Yasumasa Morimura, for instance, photographs the figurative paintings of such past masters as Rembrandt and van Gogh; then, through computer imaging, he substitutes his own face for each of the characters' faces within the frame. "I express Rembrandt's theme better than he did," Morimura has boasted.

"The need to make the outside world disappear by masking its existence with reflections of one's Self . . . when considered along with the opposite yet complementary need to make one's Self disappear by reducing one's own expressions to mere reflections of that world (the total self-effacement of Warhol's tape recorder, his *choosing* to become Echo in her cave), would seem to suggest a deep fear of reality," writes Bosworth. "Or rather, a deep fear of *knowing* reality."

"Most of postmodern art's favorite strategies—repetition, collage, opacity, parody are strategies of concealment rather than conveyance," he observes. "Most of the