

reached the point, in rhetoric at least, though not I think in reality, of a denial of the idea of a common culture and a single society. If large numbers of people really accept this, the republic would be in serious trouble."

Signs are that the excesses of the "ethnic upsurge" will be their own undoing. Already, they have united outstanding scholars of the left, right, and center in a chorus of condemnation. The spectacle of Marxist historian Eugene Genovese embracing conservative Dinesh D'Souza's Illiberal Education is typical of this unusual united front. Still, one must share Schlesinger's concern about those students who have been taught by "Afrocentric" scholars that AIDS is a white-engineered conspiracy directed at the black population, or, even more sweepingly, that Europeans are "ice people," responsible for the world's three D's---"domination, destruction, and death." No one born in this century needs to be told how poisonous such ideas can be.

WQ AUTUMN 1991

DEMOCRACY AND DELIBERATION: New Directions for Democratic Reform. *By James S. Fishkin. Yale.* 172 pp. \$17.95

Soundbites, Michael Dukakis in a tank, George Bush munching on pork rinds with Iowa farmers—so ran the presidential campaign of 1988. Even the "issues" were vacuous: Willie Horton, the ACLU, and "read my lips."

Fishkin, a University of Texas political scientist, may not have the cure for the problem, but he has come up with a good idea: a "National Issues Convention," to be held in January of the coming year. Six hundred delegates, demographically representative of the U.S. population, will gather for three days of direct deliberation with the candidates for both parties' presidential nominations. The delegates will be divided into separate party meetings and at the end of the third day will be polled on the issues and their choice of candidate. The Public Broadcasting System will televise the proceedings to a national audience.

Although such a proposal hardly needs intellectual justification, Fishkin provides just that in Democracy and Deliberation (to be published shortly before the convention). "True democracy," argues Fishkin, depends on three conditions: political equality, protection against the tyranny of the majority, and real deliberation. Fishkin holds that deliberation is a means to the fulfillment of the first condition, political equality. But despite recent convention reforms, endless primary campaigns still prevent conventions from being effective deliberative bodies. An issue-oriented mini-convention coming before the primaries may be the solution, says Fishkin. Whether it is or not, Democracy and Deliberation makes worthwhile reading for anyone concerned with the ills of our political system.

Science & Technology

STRANGERS AT THE BEDSIDE: A History of How Law and Bioethics Transformed Medical Decision Making. *By David J. Rothman. Basic. 303 pp. \$24.95*

Once upon a time—it was only a few decades ago, but it now seems something out of an old

tale—the sick received treatment at home, visited by the family doctor, a father figure who had his patients' best interests at heart. He alone made the life-and-death decisions concerning his patients' treatments. But today, writes Rothman, professor of social medicine at Columbia University, "the discretion that the [medical] profession once enjoyed has been increasingly circumscribed, with an almost bewildering number of parties and procedures participating in medical decision making."

This change in the way medical decisions were made for thousands of years occurred in only a single decade, between 1966 and 1976. In 1966 Henry Beecher, Door Professor of Research in Anesthesia at the Harvard Medical School, published an article that caused a sensation: It cited case after case in which physicians and medical researchers had performed clinical experiments "for the good of society" without informing their subjects (usually poor or retarded) of possible negative consequences. Suddenly the sacrosanct world of medicine came under public scrutiny, and soon peer-review groups, hospital boards, and governmental commissions would all determine what an individual physician could or could not do in treating his patients.

A second factor contributing to the doctor's demotion was the advance in medical technology. Breakthroughs in kidney dialysis (1960) and heart transplantation (1968) raised disturbing, unprecedented questions. Who would be selected to receive such highly costly treatments? And when should treatment be withheld? In 1973, Senators Walter Mondale (D.-Minn.) and Edward Kennedy (D.-Mass.), to the disdain of the medical community, established a commission to explore medical ethics. Then in 1976, in a much publicized case, the courts forced doctors to remove Karen Ann Quinlan, who lay in a coma without hope of recovery, from a hospital respirator. It was clear, Rothman writes, who had won in this "contest between physicians, on the one hand, and patients and their legal advocates, on the other." It became even clearer. In a 1989 Gallup Poll, 40 percent of the doctor-respondents admitted that if they had known how little control they would one day have of their own profession, they would never have gone to medical school in the first place.

HISTORY OF AIDS: Emergence and Origin of a Modern Pandemic. By Mirko D. Grmek. Trans. by Russell C. Maulitz and Jacalyn Duffin. Princeton. 279 pp. \$29.95

Although a definitive history of AIDS cannot yet be written, Grmek, the director of the Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes at the Sorbonne, offers a modest alternative—"a look back by a physician trained in historical method." A bestseller in France, Grmek's retrospective may strike those suffering from HIV infection or those grappling with the scientific or social ramifications of AIDS as needlessly academic. His central concern is whether AIDS is a new disease or a little recognized entity that has always been with us.

Grmek admits that AIDS, a disease defined by its epidemic spread, is new, but he argues that the HIV virus has been around, possibly for centuries, "scattered and manifest only at a low level, in sporadic cases." Recently, three Belgian physicians proposed that the celebrated Renaissance humanist, Erasmus, died of AIDS. More convincingly, frozen blood and tissue samples from the 1950s and '60s appear to conform to the symptoms of AIDS.

If Grmek is right, why during the 1980s did this virus suddenly mutate into a highly virulent strain and spread to epidemic proportions? He introduces an intriguing concept, "pathocenosis," to describe the state of equilibrium and health that occurs in an ecologically stable population. When this equilibrium is disrupted, disease occurs in epidemic proportions. The pathocenosis of modern society may have been ruptured, he argues, by the coincidence of a number of factors, ranging from an increase in homosexual and heterosexual promiscuity to expanded air travel to widespread blood-product transfusions. Grmek calls AIDS "the first of the postmodern plagues." "With its link to sex [and] drugs," he writes, "and with the sophistication of its evolution and its strategy for spreading itself, AIDS expresses our era." Although one can doubt that a disease "expresses" anything-much less a whole erathis perspective permits Grmek to distinguish between HIV as a virus causing physical suffering and AIDS as a disease for which there may be a wide variety of societal responses quite distinct from the biomedical ones.

> wq autumn 1991 105